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**1 INTRODUCTION**

**1.1 The Proposal**

Prior to the introduction of the Standard Instrument, many LEPs had capacity to permit boundary adjustments to lots where dwellings were sited, and where the lot created would be below lot size, provided this gave no potential for yet further dwellings over that available under other clauses of the plan.

Clause 4.2 of the Oberon LEP 2013, allows for the creation of a lot below lot size but only where there is not an existing dwelling on the lot or the potential for a dwelling. The only other provision open for boundary adjustment subdivision in Oberon under current planning provisions, where the proposed lots are below lot size, is the exempt provisions for subdivision in State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes, 2008). Clause 2.75 of that plan specifies the requirements for a subdivision to be exempt from the need for development consent.

But these provisions bar boundary adjustments for land with a heritage item, require lots below lot size to increase in area as a result of the adjustment and for most of the rural zones of the Oberon Area, there is the constraint that the subsequent lot(s) can only have a minor change of area.

A wholesome intent of the current clause 4.2 and Clause 2.75 of the SEPP is to allow rational alteration of rural land to meet agricultural needs (for example; so two farmers can swap some land to achieve better boundaries or so one farmer can consolidate by buying some land off a neighbour etc.). However, Oberon Council has encountered a number of boundary adjustment proposals of merit where the above current provisions are not flexible enough to permit an adjustment. This Planning Proposal requests an amendment to the Oberon LEP 2013 to insert a model "boundary adjustment" clause to provide the required flexibility and clarity required to allow for boundary adjustments for the rationalisation of rural zoned land for agricultural purposes, particularly where houses exist and lots are below lot size.

**2 OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOMES**

• To provide additional flexibility and clarity for boundary adjustment subdivisions in the rural zones of Oberon Council Area.

• To give potential for boundary adjustment subdivision where lots are or will be below lot size and dwellings are involved, provided no additional dwelling opportunities are created beyond those already permissible under other clauses of the LEP.

• To allow for rationalisation and consolidation of agricultural land.

**3 EXPLANATION OF THE PROVISIONS**

Following preliminary consultation with the Department of Planning and Environment, it was advised Council may consider seeking to amend the LEP to insert a model boundary adjustment clause. Officers have reviewed the draft model clause of the Department and suggest the following variation as the basis of this planning proposal:

**4.2C Boundary changes between lots in certain rural, residential and environment protection zones**

(1) The objective of this clause is to permit the boundary between 2 or more lots to be altered in certain circumstances, to give landowners a greater opportunity to achieve the objectives of a zone.

(2) This clause applies to land in any of the following zones:

(a) Zone RU 1 Primary Production,

(bl Zone RU3 Forestry,

(c) Zone RU4 Primary Production Small Lots,

(d) Zone RS Large Lot Residential,

(e) Zone El National Parks and Nature Reserves,

(f) Zone E2 Environmental Conservation,

(g) Zone E3 Environmental Management,

(3) Despite clause 4.1(3), development consent may be granted to the subdivision of 2 or more adjoining lots, being land to which this clause applies, if the subdivision will not result in any of the following:

(a) an increase in the number of lots,

(b) an increase in the number of dwellings or dual occupancies on, or

(c) dwellings or dual occupancies that may be erected on, any of the lots.

(4) Before determining a development application for the subdivision of land under this clause, the consent authority must consider the following:

(a) the existing uses and approved uses of other land in the vicinity of the subdivision,

(b) whether or not the subdivision is likely to have a significant impact on land uses that are likely to be preferred and the predominant land uses in the vicinity of the development,

(c) whether or not the subdivision is likely to be incompatible with a use referred to in paragraph (a) or (b),

(d) whether or not the subdivision is likely to be incompatible with a use on land in any adjoining zone,

(e) any measures proposed by the applicant to avoid or minimise any incompatibility referred to in paragraph (c) or (d),

(f) whether or not the subdivision is appropriate having regard to the natural and physical constraints affecting the land,

(g) whether or not the subdivision is likely to have an adverse impact on the environmental values or agricultural viability of the land.

(5) This clause does not apply:

(a) in relation to the subdivision of individual lots in a strata plan or a community title scheme, or

(b) if the subdivision would create a lot that could itself be subdivided in accordance with clause 4.1.

**4 JUSTIFICATION**

**4.1 Section A- Need for the Planning Proposal**

A Planning Proposal is needed to amend the Oberon LEP 2013 to insert the draft clause in section 3 of this report. The inclusion of the proposed clause will provide some flexibility to allow for consolidation and rationalisation of rural land where there is an already an existing dwelling (and therefore the impacts already exist) but does not meet the minimum lot size.

An example, modelled on cases already experienced by Council, are provided below to give justification for the additional clause.

1. Two adjoining land owners each has a lot below 100ha with a dwelling lawfully approved under a former EPI. They wishes to sell most of the land to his neighbour who is a professional farmer seeking to increase the size of his holding. But his neighbour does not own a lot over 100ha adjoining, only a smaller lot. The current provisions bar the proposal. The LEP clause 4.2 cannot be applied because of the existing dwelling and the exempt provisions cannot be claimed as one lot below lot size will decrease in area.

**4.2 Section 8 - Relationship to strategic planning framework**

State Environmental Planning Policy (Rural Lands) 2008 applies to the area and provides a State wide provision for creation of a lot for agriculture but Clause 9(3) of that SEPP states:

*"However, such* a *lot cannot be created if an existing dwelling would, as the result of the subdivision, be situated on the lot"*

The proposed Boundary adjustment clause is not considered to be inconsistent with this SEPP's objectives or clause 9(3) in that the proposed clause will merely facilitate better use of land in lots below lot size (including better use of existing dwellings) and not increase potential for dwellings.

Section 117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones applies to this proposal and this proposal is consistent.

Section 117 Direction 1.5 Rural Lands applies and requires compliance with the objectives of the Rural SEPP which has been addressed above.

Section 117 Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones applies to lands so zoned in this proposal. This Direction requires Planning Proposals not reduce environmental protection standards. This proposal retains such standards and complies.

**4.3 Section C - Environmental social and economic impact**

There is no perceived negative impact of the Planning Proposal. The clause will allow for farm rationalisations, conserve existing dwelling stocks but not facilitate additional dwellings beyond that already permissible under other clauses of the LEP**.**

**4.4 Section D - State and Commonwealth interests**

The proposal to insert the proposed boundary adjustment clause is not seen to invoke any issues of State or Federal significance. See also 4.2 for compliance with state and regional policies.

**5 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

It is recommended this Planning Proposal be publicly exhibited for 4 weeks. The exhibition should include appropriate advertising in the local press and Council's web page. A copy of the exhibition package should be made available at Council's office.

**6 PROJECT TIMELINE**

An estimated timeline to process this Planning Proposal, should it be supported through to gazettal, It is estimated that the process should be completed within 3 months of delegation from the Minister.

**7 CONCLUSION**

The inclusion of the proposed boundary adjustment clause in the Oberon LEP will provide the flexibility and clarity required for the subdivision of rural zoned land, where a dwelling already exists but does not meet the minimum lot size requirements. Such subdivision is not currently available in the Oberon LEP 2013 as it is based on the core Standard Instrument. The provision will address several recent cases in Oberon where boundary rationalisations otherwise of considerable merit have been barred by the limitations in the current provisions of the LEP and exemption provisions.